

Water Quality Summary - September 2020

Hunter Water provides safe and reliable drinking water to over half a million customers in the Lower Hunter. The drinking water we supply is routinely tested throughout our water supply systems.

Whole of Hunter Water Distribution System

Drinking water results for the distribution system are based on samples representative of water supplied to customers taps. Distribution system results for microbiological and key physical/chemical parameters are summarised below.

Whole of Hunter Water Distribution System - key health analytes

Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)		% of E. coli results < 1 over the last 12 months	Met performance standard
E. coli	MPN/100mL	Should not be detected in 100mL	At least 98% of test results <1		99.89%	Yes
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month	95th Percentile over the last 12 months	Met performance standard
Fluoride	mg/L	1.5		0.92	1.09	Yes
Chlorine						
Cilonie	mg/L	5.0		0.67	1.25	Yes
Copper	mg/L	2.0	95th percentile of test results less than	0.67 0.008	1.25 0.016	Yes Yes
	<u> </u>	2.0	95th percentile of test results less than respective ADWG health guideline value			
Copper	mg/L	2.0		0.008	0.016	Yes

Whole of Hunter Water Distribution System - PFOS, PFHxS & PFOA

Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month	Maximum detection over the last 12 months	Met performance standard
PFOS + PFHxS	ug/L	0.07	100% of test results less than respective	<0.004	0.006	Yes
PFOA	ug/L	0.56	ADWG health guideline value	<0.002	<0.002	Yes

Whole of Hunter Water Distribution System - key aesthetic analytes

Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG aesthetic guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month	12 month average result	Met performance standard
Iron	mg/L	0.3		0.022	0.026	Yes
Aluminium	mg/L	0.2		0.042	0.041	Yes
Copper	mg/L	1.0	Average of test results less than	0.008	0.007	Yes
Zinc	mg/L	3.0	respective ADWG aesthetic guideline	0.004	0.004	Yes
Manganese	mg/L	0.1	value	0.002	0.007	Yes
Turbidity	NTU	5.0		0.3	0.3	Yes
Colour-True	HU	15.0		5	5	Yes
рH	pH units	6.5-9.2	Average of test results between 6.5-9.2 pH units	7.57	7.58	Yes

Brief explanation of key parameters: Key Physical, Chemical and Microbiological Parameters						
A 95th percentile is a statistical method to evaluate the long-term performance standard for Health-related analytes. Additional information is available in Section 10.3.2 and Information Sheet 3.3 of the Australian Drinking Water Guidelines 2011 (ADWG).						
For more detail please refer:	Australian Drinking Water Guidelines 2011					
Map showing water quality zones:	Water Quality Zones at Hunter Water					

Water Quality Zones						
Anna Bay and Nelson Bay Zone - key	health analytes					
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)		% of E. coli results < 1 over the last 12 months	Met performand standard
E. coli	MPN/100mL	Should not be detected in 100mL	At least 98% of test results <1		100.00%	Yes
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month	95th Percentile over the last 12 months	Met performand standard
Fluoride	mg/L	1.5		0.96	1.10	Yes
Chlorine	mg/L	5.0		0.81	1.71	Yes
Copper	mg/L	2.0	95th percentile of test results less than	0.022	0.017	Yes
ead	mg/L	0.01	respective ADWG health guideline value	0.000	0.000	Yes
Manganese	mg/L	0.5		0.003	0.019	Yes
Trihalomethanes	mg/L	0.25		0.047	0.100	Yes
Anna Bay and Nelson Bay Zone - PFC)S, PFHxS & PFOA					
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month	Maximum detection over the last 12 months	Met performand standard
PFOS + PFHxS	ug/L	0.07		<0.004	<0.004	Yes
PFOA	ug/L	0.07	100% of test results less than respective ADWG health guideline value			Yes
		0.00	3	<0.002	<0.002	res
Anna Bay and Nelson Bay Zone - key	aestnetic analytes					
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG aesthetic guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month	12 month average result	Met performand standard
Iron	mg/L	0.3		0.022	0.032	Yes
Aluminium	mg/L	0.2		0.041	0.039	Yes
Copper	mg/L	1.0	Average of test results less than	0.022	0.008	Yes
Zinc	mg/L	3.0	respective ADWG aesthetic guideline	0.004	0.004	Yes
Manganese	mg/L	0.1	value	0.003	0.008	Yes
Turbidity	NTU	5.0		0.3	0.3	Yes
Colour-True	HU	15.0		5	5	Yes
pH	pH units	6.5-9.2	Average of test results between 6.5-9.2 pH units	7.61	7.49	Yes
Chichester Zone - key health analyte	s					
		ADWG health	Performance standard (assessment		% of E. coli results < 1 over	Met performanc
Analyte	Units of measure	guideline value	over previous 12 months)		the last 12 months	standard
E. coli	MPN/100mL	Should not be detected in 100mL	At least 98% of test results <1		99.58%	Yes
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month	95th Percentile over the last 12 months	Met performand standard
Fluoride	mg/L	1.5		0.83	1.00	Yes
Chlorine	mg/L	5.0		0.82	1.19	Yes
Copper	mg/L	2.0	95th percentile of test results less than	0.004	0.015	Yes
Lead	mg/L	0.01	respective ADWG health guideline value	0.000	0.001	Yes
Vanganese Trihalomethanes	mg/L mg/L	0.5		0.001	0.013	Yes
Chichester Zone - PFOS, PFHxS & PF		0.25		0.070	0.130	res
Chichester Zone - FFO3, FFNX3 & FF	GA .					
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month	Maximum detection over the last 12 months	Met performand standard
PFOS + PFHxS	ug/L	0.07	100% of test results less than respective	<0.004	0.004	Yes
PFOA	ug/L	0.56	ADWG health guideline value	<0.002	<0.002	Yes
Chichester Zone - key aesthetic analy		0.00				
C						
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG aesthetic guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month	12 month average result	Met performand standard
ron	mg/L	0.3		0.018	0.023	Yes
Aluminium	mg/L	0.2		0.039	0.049	Yes
Copper	mg/L	1.0	Average of test results less than	0.004	0.008	Yes
		3.0	respective ADWG aesthetic guideline	0.002	0.003	Yes
Zinc	mg/L	3.0		0.002	0.003	103
Manganese	mg/L	0.1	value	0.001	0.005	Yes
Zinc Manganese Turbidity Colour-True						

6.5-9.2

pH units

рН

Average of test results between 6.5-9.2 pH units

7.57

7.67

Yes

Grahamstown Zone - key health analytes						
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)		% of E. coli results < 1 over the last 12 months	Met performance standard
E. coli	MPN/100mL	Should not be detected in 100mL	At least 98% of test results <1		100.00%	Yes
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month	95th Percentile over the last 12 months	Met performance standard
Fluoride	mg/L	1.5		0.96	1.10	Yes
	mg/L	5.0		0.56	1.24	Yes
Copper	mg/L	2.0	95th percentile of test results less than	0.005	0.015	Yes
Lead	mg/L	0.01	respective ADWG health guideline value	0.000	0.002	Yes
Manganese	mg/L	0.5		0.002	0.016	Yes
	mg/L	0.25		0.079	0.133	Yes
Grahamstown Zone - PFOS, PFHxS & PFOA						
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month	Maximum detection over the last 12 months	Met performance standard
PFOS + PFHxS	ug/L	0.07	100% of test results less than respective	<0.004	0.004	Yes
PFOA	ug/L	0.56	ADWG health guideline value	<0.002	<0.002	Yes
Grahamstown Zone - key aesthetic analytes	*				.5.002	. 55
Granamstown Zone - key destrictic analytes						
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG aesthetic guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month	12 month average result	Met performance standard
Iron	mg/L	0.3		0.024	0.026	Yes
Aluminium	mg/L	0.2		0.053	0.041	Yes
Copper	mg/L	1.0	Average of test results less than	0.005	0.007	Yes
Zinc	mg/L	3.0	respective ADWG aesthetic guideline	0.004	0.004	Yes
Manganese	mg/L	0.1	value	0.002	0.009	Yes
Turbidity	NTU	5.0		0.3	0.3	Yes
Colour-True	HU	15.0		5	5	Yes
рН	pH units	6.5-9.2	Average of test results between 6.5-9.2 pH units	7.52	7.53	Yes
Gresford Zone - key health analytes						
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)		% of E. coli results < 1 over the last 12 months	Met performance standard
E. coli	MPN/100mL	Should not be detected in 100mL	At least 98% of test results <1		100.00%	Yes
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month	95th Percentile over the last 12 months	Met performance standard
Chlorine	mg/L	5.0	95th percentile of test results less than respective ADWG health guideline value	0.83	1.38	Yes
Gresford Zone - PFOS, PFHxS & PFOA						
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month #	Maximum detection over the last 12 months	Met performance standard
DEOS - DEUVS	ual	0.07		-0.004		V
PFOS + PFHxS	ug/L	0.07	100% of test results less than respective ADWG health guideline value	<0.004	<0.004	Yes
PFOA	ug/L	0.56	ADTY O Health guideline value	<0.002	<0.002	Yes
Gresford Zone - key aesthetic analytes						
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG aesthetic guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month	12 month average result	Met performance standard
Turbidity	NTU	5.0	Average of test results less than respective ADWG aesthetic guideline value	0.2	0.2	Yes
рН	pH units	6.5-9.2	Average of test results between 6.5-9.2 pH units	8.19	8.01	Yes

Lemon Tree Passage Zone - key health analytes									
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)		% of E. coli results < 1 over the last 12 months	Met performance standard			
E. coli	MPN/100mL	Should not be detected in 100mL	At least 98% of test results <1		100.00%	Yes			
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month	95th Percentile over the last 12 months	Met performance standard			
Fluoride	mg/L	1.5		0.91	1.08	Yes			
Chlorine	mg/L	5.0		0.72	1.40	Yes			
Copper	mg/L	2.0	95th percentile of test results less than	0.003	0.011	Yes			
Lead	mg/L	0.01	respective ADWG health guideline value	0.000	0.001	Yes			
Manganese	mg/L	0.5		0.006	0.011	Yes			
Trihalomethanes	mg/L	0.25		0.070	0.132	Yes			
Lemon Tree Passage Zone - PFOS, PFHxS & PFOA									
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month	Maximum detection over the last 12 months	Met performance standard			
PFOS + PFHxS	ug/L	0.07	100% of test results less than respective	<0.004	0.006	Yes			
PFOA	ug/L	0.56	ADWG health guideline value	<0.002	<0.002	Yes			
Lemon Tree Passage Zone - key aesthetic analytes									
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG aesthetic guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month	12 month average result	Met performance standard			

onits of measure	guideline value	over previous 12 months)	Month	result	standard
mg/L	0.3		0.026	0.026	Yes
mg/L	0.2	Average of test results less than respective ADWG aesthetic guideline value	0.032	0.038	Yes
mg/L	1.0		0.003	0.004	Yes
mg/L	3.0		0.003	0.003	Yes
mg/L	0.1		0.006	0.004	Yes
NTU	5.0		0.3	0.2	Yes
HU	15.0		5	5	Yes
pH units	6.5-9.2	Average of test results between 6.5-9.2 pH units	7.64	7.56	Yes
	mg/L mg/L mg/L mg/L mg/L mg/L MTU HU	mg/L 0.3 mg/L 0.2 mg/L 1.0 mg/L 3.0 mg/L 0.1 NTU 5.0 HU 15.0	guideline value	mg/L 0.3 0.026 mg/L 0.2 0.032 mg/L 1.0 Average of test results less than respective ADWG aesthetic guideline value 0.003 mg/L 0.1 0.003 0.003 NTU 5.0 0.003 0.006 HU 15.0 Average of test results between 6.5-9.2 7.64	mg/L 0.3 0.026 0.026 mg/L 0.2 0.032 0.032 0.038 mg/L 1.0 Average of test results less than respective ADWG aesthetic guideline value 0.003 0.004 NTU 5.0 0.004 0.006 0.004 HU 15.0 5 5 Average of test results between 6.5-9.2 7.56 7.56

PFOS, PFHxS and PFOA Results for Clear Water Tanks - September 2020

Clear water tanks (CWTs) are the final point at each water treatment plant before water enters the distribution system for delivery to customers. Maximum drinking water results in the CWTs of the water treatment plants monitored during the month are summarised below.

Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Grahamstown CWT	Gresford CWT
PFOS + PFHxS	ug/L	0.07	<0.004	<0.004
PFOA	ug/L	0.56	<0.002	<0.002

PFOS, PFHxS and PFOA Results for Raw Waters - September 2020

Raw water monitoring points are representative of water received at the water treatment plants, prior to any treatment occurring. Maximum raw water results of the water treatment plants monitored during the month are summarised below.

Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Grahamstown Raw - East Main ^	Grahamstown Raw - West Main	Gresford (Allyn River) WTP *	Gresford (Paterson River) WTP *	Lemon Tree Passage WTP
PFOS + PFHxS	ug/L	0.07	0.008	0.004	<0.004	<0.004	0.005
PFOA	ug/L	0.56	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002

Notes

^ Water sourced from the Tomago Borefield -when operational -is delivered to Grahamstown WTP via the East'Main. When the Tomago Borefield is not being used as part of the drinking water supply mix for the Lower Hunter, the East'and West'monitoring points are both representative of water sourced from Grahamstown Dam.

^{*} Gresford WTP can be supplied from either the Allyn or Paterson Rivers. The plant was supplied from the Paterson River for the month.

[#] One sample is collected per month in the Gresford Zone