

Water Quality Summary - July 2022

Hunter Water provides safe and reliable drinking water to over half a million customers in the Lower Hunter. The drinking water we supply is routinely tested throughout our water supply systems.

Whole of Hunter Water Distribution System

Drinking water results for the distribution system are based on samples representative of water supplied to customer's taps. Distribution system results for microbiological and key physical/chemical parameters are summarised below.

Whole of Hunter Water Distribution System - key health analytes

Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)		% of E. coli results < 1 over the last 12 months	Met performance standard
E. coli	MPN/100mL	Should not be detected in 100mL	At least 98% of test results <1		100.00%	Yes
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month	95th Percentile over the last 12 months	Met performance standard
Fluoride						
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	mg/L	1.5		0.91	1.00	Yes
Chlorine	mg/L	1.5 5.0		0.91	1.00	Yes Yes
	-	5.0 2.0	95th percentile of test results less than			
Chlorine	mg/L	5.0 2.0	95th percentile of test results less than respective ADWG health guideline value	0.89	1.65	Yes
Chlorine Copper	mg/L mg/L	5.0 2.0		0.89	1.65 0.013	Yes Yes

Whole of Hunter Water Distribution System - PFOS, PFHxS & PFOA

Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month	Maximum detection over the last 12 months	Met performance standard
PFOS + PFHxS	ug/L	0.07	100% of test results less than respective	<0.004	0.004	Yes
PFOA	ug/L	0.56	ADWG health guideline value	<0.002	<0.002	Yes

Whole of Hunter Water Distribution System - key aesthetic analytes

Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG aesthetic guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month	12 month average result	Met performance standard
Iron	mg/L	0.3		0.023	0.021	Yes
Aluminium	mg/L	0.2		0.044	0.040	Yes
Copper	mg/L	1.0	Average of test results less than	0.008	0.006	Yes
Zinc	mg/L	3.0	respective ADWG aesthetic guideline	0.003	0.003	Yes
Manganese	mg/L	0.1	value	0.002	0.003	Yes
Turbidity	NTU	5.0		0.2	0.2	Yes
Colour-True	HU	15.0		5	5	Yes
рH	pH units	6.5-9.2	Average of test results between 6.5-9.2 pH units	7.60	7.60	Yes

Brief explanation of key parameters:	Key Physical, Chemical and Microbiological Parameters				
A 95th percentile is a statistical method to evaluate the long-term performance standard for Health-related analytes. Additional information is available in Section 10.3.2 and Information Sheet 3.3 of the Drinking Water Guidelines 2011 (ADWG).					
For more detail please refer:	Australian Drinking Water Guidelines 2011				
Map showing water quality zones:	Water Quality Zones at Hunter Water				

Water Quality Zones						
Anna Bay and Nelson Bay Zone - key	health analytes					
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)		% of E. coli results < 1 over the last 12 months	Met performand standard
E. coli	MPN/100mL	Should not be detected in 100mL	At least 98% of test results <1		100.00%	Yes
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month	95th Percentile over the last 12 months	Met performand standard
Fluoride	mg/L	1.5		0.99	1.00	Yes
Chlorine	mg/L	5.0		0.94	2.12	Yes
Copper	mg/L	2.0	95th percentile of test results less than	0.014	0.021	Yes
_ead	mg/L	0.01	respective ADWG health guideline value	0.000	0.000	Yes
Manganese	mg/L	0.5		0.002	0.006	Yes
Trihalomethanes	mg/L	0.25		0.044	0.108	Yes
Anna Bay and Nelson Bay Zone - PF0	DS, PFHxS & PFOA					
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month	Maximum detection over the last 12 months	Met performand standard
PFOS + PFHxS	ug/L	0.07		<0.004	<0.004	Yes
PFOA	ug/L	0.07	100% of test results less than respective ADWG health guideline value			Yes
Anna Bay and Nelson Bay Zone - key		0.00	• • • • • • • •	<0.002	<0.002	res
Alina Bay and Neison Bay Zone - key	aestrietic analytes					
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG aesthetic guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month	12 month average result	Met performand standard
Iron	mg/L	0.3		0.023	0.028	Yes
Aluminium	mg/L	0.2	Average of test results less than	0.055	0.042	Yes
Copper	mg/L	1.0		0.014	0.008	Yes
Zinc	mg/L	3.0	respective ADWG aesthetic guideline	0.004	0.004	Yes
Manganese	mg/L	0.1	value	0.002	0.003	Yes
Turbidity	NTU	5.0		0.2	0.3	Yes
Colour-True	HU	15.0		5	5	Yes
pH	pH units	6.5-9.2	Average of test results between 6.5-9.2 pH units	7.51	7.47	Yes
Chichester Zone - key health analyte	S					
		ADWG health	Performance standard (assessment		% of E. coli results < 1 over	Met performanc
Analyte	Units of measure	guideline value	over previous 12 months)		the last 12 months	standard
E. coli	MPN/100mL	Should not be detected in 100mL	At least 98% of test results <1		100.00%	Yes
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month	95th Percentile over the last 12 months	Met performand standard
Fluoride	mg/L	1.5		0.68	1.00	Yes
Chlorine	mg/L	5.0		1.00	1.44	Yes
Copper	mg/L	2.0	95th percentile of test results less than	0.004	0.009	Yes
Lead	mg/L	0.01	respective ADWG health guideline value	0.000	0.001	Yes
Vanganese Frihalomethanes	mg/L mg/L	0.5		0.002	0.006	Yes Yes
Chichester Zone - PFOS, PFHxS & PF		0.25		0.045	0.106	res
Chichester Zone - FFO3, FFNX3 & FF	-OA					
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month	Maximum detection over the last 12 months	Met performand standard
PFOS + PFHxS	ug/L	0.07	100% of test results less than respective	<0.004	<0.004	Yes
PFOA	ug/L	0.56	ADWG health guideline value	<0.002	<0.002	Yes
Chichester Zone - key aesthetic analy		0.00				
CShootor Zone - key destricted dildi	,					
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG aesthetic guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month	12 month average result	Met performand standard
ron	mg/L	0.3		0.016	0.016	Yes
Aluminium	mg/L	0.2		0.030	0.036	Yes
Copper	mg/L	1.0	Average of test results less than	0.004	0.005	Yes
Zinc	mg/L	3.0	respective ADWG aesthetic guideline	0.001	0.002	Yes
			value			
	mg/L	0.1	value	0.002	0.002	Yes
Manganese Turbidity Colour-True	mg/L NTU HU	0.1 5.0 15.0	value	0.002 0.2 5	0.002 0.2 5	Yes Yes Yes

6.5-9.2

pH units

рН

Average of test results between 6.5-9.2 pH units

7.62

7.65

Yes

Grahamstown Zone - key health analytes						
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)		% of E. coli results < 1 over the last 12 months	Met performance standard
E. coli	MPN/100mL	Should not be detected in 100mL	At least 98% of test results <1		100.00%	Yes
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month	95th Percentile over the last 12 months	Met performance standard
Fluoride	mg/L	1.5		0.96	1.09	Yes
Chlorine	mg/L	5.0		0.75	1.45	Yes
Copper	mg/L	2.0	95th percentile of test results less than	0.003	0.012	Yes
Lead	mg/L	0.01	respective ADWG health guideline value	0.000	0.001	Yes
Manganese	mg/L	0.5		0.003	0.007	Yes
Trihalomethanes	mg/L	0.25		0.085	0.203	Yes
Grahamstown Zone - PFOS, PFHxS & PFOA						
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month	Maximum detection over the last 12 months	Met performance standard
PFOS + PFHxS	ug/L	0.07	100% of test results less than respective	<0.004	0.004	Yes
PFOA	ug/L	0.56	ADWG health guideline value	<0.002	<0.002	Yes
Grahamstown Zone - key aesthetic analytes	*	2.00			.5.002	. 55
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG aesthetic guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month	12 month average result	Met performance standard
Iron	mg/L	0.3		0.026	0.021	Yes
Aluminium	mg/L	0.2		0.048	0.047	Yes
	mg/L	1.0	Average of test results less than	0.003	0.004	Yes
	mg/L	3.0	respective ADWG aesthetic guideline	0.002	0.003	Yes
	mg/L	0.1	value	0.003	0.003	Yes
Turbidity	NTU	5.0		0.2	0.2	Yes
Colour-True	ни	15.0		5	5	Yes
рН	pH units	6.5-9.2	Average of test results between 6.5-9.2 pH units	7.55	7.57	Yes
Gresford Zone - key health analytes						
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)		% of E. coli results < 1 over the last 12 months	Met performance standard
E. coli	MPN/100mL	Should not be detected in 100mL	At least 98% of test results <1		100.00%	Yes
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month	95th Percentile over the last 12 months	Met performance standard
Chlorine	mg/L	5.0	95th percentile of test results less than respective ADWG health guideline value	1.04	1.38	Yes
Gresford Zone - PFOS, PFHxS & PFOA						
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month #	Maximum detection over the last 12 months	Met performance standard
PFOS + PFHxS	ug/L	0.07	1004 11 1 11 1	<0.004	<0.004	Yes
		0.07	100% of test results less than respective ADWG health guideline value	<0.004		
PFOA	ug/L	0.56	ADVVO Hoalth guideline value	<0.002	<0.002	Yes
Gresford Zone - key aesthetic analytes						
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG aesthetic guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month	12 month average result	Met performance standard
Turbidity	NTU	5.0	Average of test results less than respective ADWG aesthetic guideline value	0.2	0.2	Yes
рН	pH units	6.5-9.2	Average of test results between 6.5-9.2 pH units	8.53	8.18	Yes

Lemon Tree Passage Zone - key health an	alytes					
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)		% of E. coli results < 1 over the last 12 months	Met performance standard
E. coli	MPN/100mL	Should not be detected in 100mL	At least 98% of test results <1		100.00%	Yes
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month	95th Percentile over the last 12 months	Met performance standard
Fluoride	mg/L	1.5		0.94	1.00	Yes
Chlorine	mg/L	5.0		1.27	2.00	Yes
Copper	mg/L	2.0	95th percentile of test results less than	0.003	0.010	Yes
Lead	mg/L	0.01	respective ADWG health guideline value	0.000	0.001	Yes
Manganese	mg/L	0.5		0.002	0.011	Yes
Trihalomethanes	mg/L	0.25		0.090	0.153	Yes
Lemon Tree Passage Zone - PFOS, PFHxS	S & PFOA					
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month	Maximum detection over the last 12 months	Met performance standard
PFOS + PFHxS	ug/L	0.07	100% of test results less than respective	<0.004	<0.004	Yes
PFOA	ug/L	0.56	ADWG health guideline value	<0.002	<0.002	Yes
Lemon Tree Passage Zone - key aesthetic	analytes	'				
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG aesthetic guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month	12 month average result	Met performance standard
Iron	mg/L	0.3		0.024	0.025	Yes

PFOS, PFHxS and PFOA Results for Clear Water Tanks - July 2022

mg/L

mg/L

mg/L

NTU

HU

pH units

Clear water tanks (CWTs) are the final point at each water treatment plant before water enters the distribution system for delivery to customers. Maximum drinking water results in the CWTs of the water treatment plants monitored during the month are summarised below.

1.0

3.0

0.1

5.0

15.0

6.5-9.2

Average of test results less than respective ADWG aesthetic guideline value

Average of test results between 6.5-9.2 pH units 0.025

0.003

0.002

0.2

5

7.60

<0.002

0.004

0.003

0.003

0.2

7.58

<0.002

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

<0.002

Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Grahamstown CWT
PFOS + PFHxS	ug/L	0.07	<0.004
PFOA	ug/L	0.56	<0.002

PFOS, PFHxS and PFOA Results for Raw Waters - July 2022

Raw water monitoring points are representative of water received at the water treatment plants, prior to any treatment occurring. Maximum raw water results of the water treatment plants monitored during the month are summarised below.

ug/L

Analyte Units of measure Units of measure PFOS + PFHxS

Units of measure U

0.56

<0.002

<0.002

PFOA Notes

Aluminium

Manganese

Colour-True

Turbidity

Copper

Zinc

рΗ

^ Water sourced from the Tomago Borefield -when operational -is delivered to Grahamstown WTP via the East'Main. When the Tomago Borefield is not being used as part of the drinking water supply mix for the Lower Hunter, the East'and West'monitoring points are both representative of water sourced from Grahamstown Dam.

^{*} Gresford WTP can be supplied from either the Allyn or Paterson Rivers. The plant was supplied from the Paterson River for the month.

[#] One sample is collected per month in the Gresford Zone