

## Water Quality Summary - January 2023

Hunter Water provides safe and reliable drinking water to over half a million customers in the Lower Hunter. The drinking water we supply is routinely tested throughout our water supply systems.

### Whole of Hunter Water Distribution System

Drinking water results for the distribution system are based on samples representative of water supplied to customer's taps. Distribution system results for microbiological and key physical/chemical parameters are summarised below.

Whole of Hunter Water Distribution System - key health analytes

Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)		% of E. coli results < 1 over the last 12 months	Met performance standard
E. coli	MPN/100mL	Should not be detected in 100mL	At least 98% of test results <1		99.95%	Yes
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month	95th Percentile over the last 12 months	Met performance standard
	<del></del>					
Fluoride	mg/L	1.5		0.99	1.00	Yes
Fluoride Chlorine	mg/L	1.5 5.0		0.99 1.00	1.00 1.65	Yes Yes
	-	-	95th percentile of test results less than			
Chlorine	mg/L	5.0 2.0	95th percentile of test results less than respective ADWG health guideline value	1.00	1.65	Yes
Chlorine Copper	mg/L mg/L	5.0 2.0		1.00 0.005	1.65 0.015	Yes Yes

Whole of Hunter Water Distribution System - PFOS, PFHxS & PFOA

Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month	Maximum detection over the last 12 months	Met performance standard
PFOS + PFHxS	ug/L	0.07	100% of test results less than respective	<0.004	0.004	Yes
PFOA	ug/L	0.56	ADWG health guideline value	<0.002	<0.002	Yes

### Whole of Hunter Water Distribution System - key aesthetic analytes

Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG aesthetic guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month	12 month average result	Met performance standard
Iron	mg/L	0.3		0.027	0.023	Yes
Aluminium	mg/L	0.2		0.051	0.041	Yes
Copper	mg/L	1.0	Average of test results less than	0.005	0.005	Yes
Zinc	mg/L	3.0	respective ADWG aesthetic guideline	0.003	0.003	Yes
Manganese	mg/L	0.1	value	0.004	0.004	Yes
Turbidity	NTU	5.0		0.3	0.3	Yes
Colour-True	HU	15.0		5	5	Yes
рН	pH units	6.5-9.2	Average of test results between 6.5-9.2 pH units	7.59	7.60	Yes

Brief explanation of key parameters: Key Physical, Chemical and Microbiological Parameters					
A 95th percentile is a statistical method to evaluate the long-term performance standard for Health-related analytes. Additional information is available in Section 10.3.2 and Information Sheet 3.3 of the Austra Drinking Water Guidelines 2011 (ADWG).					
For more detail please refer:	Australian Drinking Water Guidelines 2011				
Map showing water quality zones:	Water Quality Zones at Hunter Water				

Water Quality Zones						
Anna Bay and Nelson Bay Zone - key hea	alth analytes					
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)		% of E. coli results < 1 over the last 12 months	Met performance standard
E. coli	MPN/100mL	Should not be detected in 100mL	At least 98% of test results <1		100.00%	Yes
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month	95th Percentile over the last 12 months	Met performance standard
Fluoride	mg/L	1.5		1.02	1.10	Yes
Chlorine	mg/L	5.0		1.10	2.17	Yes
Copper	mg/L	2.0	95th percentile of test results less than	0.006	0.027	Yes
Lead	mg/L	0.01	respective ADWG health guideline value	0.000	0.000	Yes
Manganese Trihalomethanes	mg/L	0.5		0.004	0.008	Yes Yes
Anna Bay and Nelson Bay Zone - PFOS, I		0.20		0.020	0.101	1.00
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month	Maximum detection over the last 12 months	Met performance standard
PFOS + PFHxS	ug/L	0.07	100% of test results less than respective	<0.004	<0.004	Yes
PFOA	ug/L	0.56	ADWG health guideline value	<0.002	<0.002	Yes
Anna Bay and Nelson Bay Zone - key aes	sthetic analytes					
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG aesthetic guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month	12 month average result	Met performance standard
Iron	mg/L	0.3		0.042	0.032	Yes
Aluminium	mg/L	0.2	-	0.053	0.045	Yes
Copper	mg/L	1.0	Average of test results less than	0.006	0.009	Yes
Zinc	mg/L	3.0	respective ADWG aesthetic guideline value	0.003	0.004	Yes
Manganese Turbidity	mg/L NTU	0.1 5.0		0.004	0.004	Yes Yes
Colour-True	HU	15.0	-	5	5	Yes
рН	pH units	6.5-9.2	Average of test results between 6.5-9.2 pH units	7.46	7.49	Yes
Chichester Zone - key health analytes	·	·				
		ADMO beetth	Performance standard (assessment		% of E. coli	
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	over previous 12 months)		results < 1 over the last 12 months	Met performance standard
E. coli	MPN/100mL	Should not be detected in 100mL	At least 98% of test results <1		100.00%	Yes
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month	95th Percentile over the last 12 months	Met performance standard
Fluoride	mg/L	1.5		0.94	1.00	Yes
Chlorine	mg/L	5.0		1.02	1.48	Yes
Copper	mg/L mg/L	2.0 0.01	95th percentile of test results less than respective ADWG health guideline value	0.005	0.008	Yes Yes
Manganese	mg/L	0.5		0.000	0.005	Yes
Trihalomethanes	mg/L	0.25		0.095	0.098	Yes
Chichester Zone - PFOS, PFHxS & PFOA						
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month	Maximum detection over the last 12 months	Met performance standard
PFOS + PFHxS	ug/L	0.07	1000/ of tool regulto ! !!	<0.004	<0.004	Yes
PFOA	ug/L	0.56	100% of test results less than respective ADWG health guideline value	<0.004	<0.004	Yes
Chichester Zone - key aesthetic analytes		0.00	1		.5.002	
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG aesthetic guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month	12 month average result	Met performance standard
Ison	ma/l			0.000	0.000	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Iron Aluminium	mg/L mg/L	0.3	-	0.022	0.018	Yes Yes
Copper	mg/L	1.0	Average of test results less than	0.046	0.004	Yes
Zinc	mg/L	3.0	respective ADWG aesthetic guideline	0.002	0.001	Yes
Manganese	mg/L	0.1	value	0.002	0.002	Yes
Turbidity Colour-True	NTU HU	5.0		0.3 5	0.2 5	Yes
Concar 1140	110	15.0	Average of test results to the control of the	3	5	Yes
pH	pH units	6.5-9.2	Average of test results between 6.5-9.2 pH units	7.66	7.65	Yes

Grahamstown Zone - key health analytes						
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)		% of E. coli results < 1 over the last 12 months	Met performance standard
E. coli	MPN/100mL	Should not be detected in 100mL	At least 98% of test results <1		99.90%	Yes
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month	95th Percentile over the last 12 months	Met performance standard
Fluoride	mall	1.5		0.00		Yee
Chlorine	mg/L	1.5		0.99	1.08	Yes Yes
Copper	mg/L					
Lead	mg/L	2.0	95th percentile of test results less than respective ADWG health guideline value	0.005	0.015	Yes Yes
Manganese	mg/L	0.5	1	0.006	0.001	Yes
Trihalomethanes	mg/L	0.25		0.125	0.180	Yes
Grahamstown Zone - PFOS, PFHxS & PFOA	inia.r	0.20		0.123	0.100	163
5-anamotom: 2010 11 00,111 100 011 01						
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month	Maximum detection over the last 12 months	Met performance standard
PFOS + PFHxS	ug/L	0.07	100% of test results less than respective	<0.004	0.004	Yes
PFOA	ug/L	0.56	ADWG health guideline value		<0.002	Yes
Grahamstown Zone - key aesthetic analytes	ugri	06.0	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	<0.002	<0.002	res
Granamstown Zone - key aestnetic analytes						
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG aesthetic guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month	12 month average result	Met performance standard
Iron	mg/L	0.3		0.023	0.022	Yes
Aluminium	mg/L	0.2		0.058	0.048	Yes
Copper	mg/L	1.0	Average of test recults less than	0.005	0.004	Yes
Zinc	mg/L	3.0	Average of test results less than respective ADWG aesthetic guideline	0.003	0.003	Yes
Manganese	mg/L	0.1	value	0.006	0.005	Yes
Turbidity	NTU	5.0		0.3	0.3	Yes
Colour-True	HU	15.0		5	5	Yes
рН	pH units	6.5-9.2	Average of test results between 6.5-9.2 pH units	7.55	7.56	Yes
Gresford Zone - key health analytes		·				
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)		% of E. coli results < 1 over the last 12 months	Met performance standard
E. coli	MPN/100mL	Should not be detected in 100mL	At least 98% of test results <1		100.00%	Yes
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month	95th Percentile over the last 12 months	Met performance standard
Chlorine	mg/L	5.0	95th percentile of test results less than respective ADWG health guideline value	0.99	1.24	Yes
Gresford Zone - PFOS, PFHxS & PFOA						
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month #	Maximum detection over the last 12 months	Met performance standard
PFOS + PFHxS	ual			0.000		٧.
	ug/L	0.07	100% of test results less than respective ADWG health guideline value	<0.004	<0.004	Yes
PFOA	ug/L	0.56	guideline value	<0.002	<0.002	Yes
Gresford Zone - key aesthetic analytes						
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG aesthetic guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month	12 month average result	Met performance standard
Turbidity	NTU	5.0	Average of test results less than respective ADWG aesthetic guideline value	0.1	0.2	Yes
pH	pH units	6.5-9.2	Average of test results between 6.5-9.2 pH units	8.37	8.40	Yes
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

Lemon Tree Passage Zone - key health analytes						
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)		% of E. coli results < 1 over the last 12 months	Met performance standard
E. coli	MPN/100mL	Should not be detected in 100mL	At least 98% of test results <1		100.00%	Yes
Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month	95th Percentile over the last 12 months	Met performance standard
Fluoride	mg/L	1.5		1.00	1.00	Yes
Chlorine	mg/L	5.0		1.36	1.99	Yes
Copper	mg/L	2.0	95th percentile of test results less than	0.005	0.012	Yes
Lead	mg/L	0.01	respective ADWG health guideline value	0.000	0.001	Yes
Manganese	mg/L	0.5		0.004	0.012	Yes
Trihalomethanes	mg/L	0.25		0.119	0.139	Yes
Lemon Tree Passage Zone - PFOS, PFHxS & PFOA						

Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month	Maximum detection over the last 12 months	Met performance standard
PFOS + PFHxS	ug/L	0.07	100% of test results less than respective	<0.004	<0.004	Yes
PFOA	ug/L	0.56	ADWG health guideline value	<0.002	<0.002	Yes
Lemon Tree Passage Zone - key aesthetic analytes						

Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG aesthetic guideline value	Performance standard (assessment over previous 12 months)	Average for Month	12 month average result	Met performance standard
Iron	mg/L	0.3		0.051	0.037	Yes
Aluminium	mg/L	0.2		0.042	0.035	Yes
Copper	mg/L	1.0	Average of test results less than	0.005	0.005	Yes
Zinc	mg/L	3.0	respective ADWG aesthetic guideline	0.004	0.004	Yes
Manganese	mg/L	0.1	value	0.004	0.003	Yes
Turbidity	NTU	5.0		0.4	0.3	Yes
Colour-True	HU	15.0		5	5	Yes
рН	pH units	6.5-9.2	Average of test results between 6.5-9.2 pH units	7.47	7.54	Yes

## PFOS, PFHxS and PFOA Results for Clear Water Tanks - January 2023

Clear water tanks (CWTs) are the final point at each water treatment plant before water enters the distribution system for delivery to customers. Maximum drinking water results in the CWTs of the water treatment plants monitored during the month are summarised below.

Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Grahamstown CWT
PFOS + PFHxS	ug/L	0.07	<0.004
PFOA	ug/L	0.56	<0.002

# PFOS, PFHxS and PFOA Results for Raw Waters - January 2023

Raw water monitoring points are representative of water received at the water treatment plants, prior to any treatment occurring. Maximum raw water results of the water treatment plants monitored during the month are summarised below.

Analyte	Units of measure	ADWG health guideline value	Grahamstown Raw - East Main ^	Grahamstown Raw - West Main	Lemon Tree Passage WTP - Raw Water
PFOS + PFHxS	ug/L	0.07	<0.004	<0.004	<0.004
PFOA	ug/L	0.56	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002

#### Notes

<sup>^</sup> Water sourced from the Tomago Borefield -when operational -is delivered to Grahamstown WTP via the East'Main. When the Tomago Borefield is not being used as part of the drinking water supply mix for the Lower Hunter, the East'and West'monitoring points are both representative of water sourced from Grahamstown Dam.

<sup>\*</sup> Gresford WTP can be supplied from either the Allyn or Paterson Rivers. The plant was supplied from the Allyn River for the month.

<sup>#</sup> One sample is collected per month in the Gresford Zone